



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/801,209	03/16/2004	Lance Flake	M14212-0029/STL07705.00	3120

7590 07/13/2007
Westman, Champlin & Kelly
900 Second Avenue South, Suite 1400
Minneapolis, MN 55402-3319

EXAMINER

WILSON, YOLANDA L

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2113

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

07/13/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/801,209	Applicant(s) FLAKE, LANCE	
	Examiner Yolanda L. Wilson	Art Unit 2113	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 April 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bauer et al. (USPN 5604753A). As per claims 1,17, Bauer et al. discloses a multi-stage pipeline for fetching or reading information from a memory coupled to the processor, see Figure 6, the pipeline including: a read stage to read a unit of information from the memory in column 11, lines 21-34; a correction stage to correct a soft error detected in a read unit of information in column 6, lines 17-34; a utilization stage to utilized information in the corrected information in column 5, line 55 – column 6, line 16 and column 11, line 35 – column 12, line 6.

3. As per claim 2, Bauer et al. discloses wherein the read stage comprises an instruction read stage to read a program instruction unit of information from the memory in column 11, lines 21-34, wherein the correction stage comprises an instruction correction stage to correct a detected soft error in the read instruction in column 6, lines 17-34; wherein the utilization stage comprises an instruction decode stage to decode the corrected instruction unit of information in column 5, line 55 – column 6, line 16 and column 11, line 35 – column 12, line 6. The decoding is inherent.

4. As per claim 3, Bauer et al. discloses wherein the read stage comprises an instruction read stage to read a program instruction unit of information from the memory in column 11, lines 21-34, wherein the correction stage comprises an instruction correction stage to correct a detected soft error in the read instruction in column 6, lines 17-34; wherein the utilization stage comprises an instruction execution stage to execute the corrected instruction unit of information in column 5, line 55 – column 6, line 16 and column 11, line 35 – column 12, line 6.

5. As per claim 4, Bauer et al. discloses wherein the read stage comprises a data read stage to read a data unit of information from the memory in column 11, lines 21-34, wherein the correction stage comprises a data correction stage to correct a detected soft error in the read data in column 6, lines 17-34, and wherein the decode stage comprises a data decode stage to decode the corrected data unit of information in column 5, line 55 – column 6, line 16 and column 11, line 35 – column 12, line 6.

6. As per claim 5, Bauer et al. discloses wherein the read stage is adapted to read a previously stored unit of information from the memory and an associated error correction code previously stored in the memory in column 11, lines 21-34 and column 5, lines 43-54.

7. As per claim 6, Bauer et al. discloses wherein the previously stored unit of information is 32 bits and the previously stored error correction is a 6 bit Hamming code in column 7, lines 1-15.

8. As per claim 7, Bauer et al. discloses wherein the read stage and the correction stage are both operable within a single cycle of the attached memory in column 9, lines 1-10.
9. As per claim 8, Bauer et al. discloses control logic to enable and disable operation of the correction stage in column 5, lines 43-54.
10. As per claim 9, Bauer et al. discloses correction logic to write the corrected data back to the memory; and notification logic coupled to the correction logic to signal correction the correction logic that corrected data is available in column 5, line 55 – column 6, line 16.
11. As per claim 10, Bauer et al. discloses wherein the notification logic includes error storage for storing the address of the corrected data in the memory in column 5, line 55 – column 6, line 16.
12. As per claim 11, Bauer et al. discloses wherein the error storage further includes error data storage for storing the erroneous value read from the memory in column 5, lines 55-67.
13. As per claim 12, Bauer et al. discloses wherein the multistage pipeline further comprises a write correction stage to write corrected data back to the memory in column 5, line 55 – column 6, line 16.
14. As per claim 13, Bauer et al. discloses wherein the correction logic is implemented as programmed instructions to be executed by the processor in column 7, lines 1-15.

Art Unit: 2113

15. As per claim 14, Bauer et al. discloses wherein the notification logic is adapted to generate an interrupt signal in the processor and wherein the correction logic is executed in response to detection of the interrupt signal in column 5, line 55 – column 6, line 16.

16. As per claim 15, Bauer et al. discloses wherein the correction stage is operable within a single cycle of the attached memory in column 9, lines 1-10.

17. As per claim 16, Bauer et al. discloses wherein the correction of a soft error requires more than a single cycle of the attached memory and wherein the pipeline further includes multiple correction stages to correct a soft error detected in a read unit of information in column 5, line 55 – column 6, line 16.

18. As per claim 18, Bauer et al. discloses correcting the read information in multiple correction stages of the processor pipeline in column 5, line 55 – column 6, line 16.

19. As per claim 19, Bauer et al. discloses wherein the steps of correcting and utilizing are performed within a single memory cycle of an attached memory system in column 9, lines 1-10.

20. As per claim 20, Bauer et al. discloses selectively disabling operation of the correction stage in column 5, lines 43-54.

21. As per claim 21, Bauer et al. discloses reading a unit of data; and reading a corresponding error correcting code previously stored with the unit of data in column 11, lines 21-34 and column 5, lines 43-54.

Art Unit: 2113

22. As per claim 22, Bauer et al. discloses wherein the step of reading a unit of data comprises reading a 32 bit data value and wherein the step of reading a corresponding error correcting code comprises reading a 6 bit Hamming code in column 7, lines 1-15.

23. As per claim 23, Bauer et al. discloses storing information regarding a soft error corrected in the step of correcting in column 5, line 55 – column 6, line 16.

24. As per claim 24, Bauer et al. discloses saving an address value of the location that provided the corrected soft error in column 5, line 55 – column 6, line 16.

25. As per claim 25, Bauer et al. discloses saving an erroneous data value that provided the corrected soft error in column 5, line 55 – column 6, line 16.

26. As per claim 26, Bauer et al. discloses notifying the processor that a soft error was corrected in column 5, line 55 – column 6, line 16.

27. As per claim 27, Bauer et al. discloses executing instructions in the processor to write the corrected information into the memory in column 5, line 55 – column 6, line 16.

28. As per claim 28, Bauer et al. discloses interrupting the processor to signal correction of a soft error in column 5, line 55 – column 6, line 16.

29. As per claim 29, Bauer et al. discloses writing the corrected information to the memory in a write corrected information stage of the processor pipeline in column 5, line 55 – column 6, line 16.

Response to Arguments

30. Applicant's arguments filed 04/16/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 2113

31. Applicant argues on page 2, "Section Two of the Office Action asserts...Accordingly, Applicant respectfully believes that the teachings of Bauer are precisely the opposite of the pipeline features recited in claims 1 and 17. This is further evident when considering the alleged elements of Bauer that are asserted as reading upon the elements of independent claims 1 and 17."

32. Examiner respectfully disagrees. As disclosed in Figure 6, the execution core is function as a pipeline. The outputs and inputs are connected to another as in accordance of a pipeline.


33. Applicant argues on page 2, "Section Two of the Office Action asserted that Bauer discloses a multi-stage pipeline for fetching or reading information from a memory coupled to the processor...However, that disclosure is directly to instruction fetch and issue unit...element 501 is not a sub-component of execution core 503 as the read stage set forth...is a sub-component of the processor pipeline...However there does not appear to be any indication that either data correction blocks 204 or 216 are anything that would be equivalent to a correction state of a pipelined processor...there is simply no indication that block 204 and/or 216 are subcomponents of execution core 503..."

34. Examiner respectfully disagrees. The instruction fetch and issue unit work in cooperation with the execution core by way of the instruction type. The ECC logic is an extension of the execution core and is still apart of the pipeline. There is no indication in the claims that the correction stage has to be in the same unit.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yolanda L. Wilson whose telephone number is (571) 272-3653. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on (571) 272-3645. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.


Yolanda L. Wilson
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2113